10/578043

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

om the CERNATIONAL SEARC	HING AUTHORITY		REC'D U 4 MAY 2005		
o:	17/5	,	P WIFO PCT		
see form PC	CT/ISA/220	INTERNA	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE ATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/y			
pplicant's or agent's file re		FOR FUR See paragra	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
nternational application No PCT/EP2004/052789		onal filing date (day/month/year) 2004	Priority date (daylmonthlyear) 03.11.2003		
nternational Patent Classi	fication (IPC) or both natio	nal classification and IPC			
12N5/06					
Applicant PROBIOGEN AG		•			
written opinion of the applicant choose international Burwill not be so could this opinion is,	Lack of unity of inventional Reasoned statement applicability; citations of Certain documents cital Certain defects in the Certain observations of Certain observations of Certain observations of Certain observations of the International Preliminary of the Internat	ander Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with and explanations supporting ed international application on the international application examination is made, this ominary Examining Authority (or than this one to be the IPE s(b) that written opinions of the insidered to be a written opinions of the insidered to be a written opinions.	on opinion will usually be considered to be a ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where EA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the this International Searching Authority		
submit to the IPI months from the whichever expire	date of mailing of Forn	n PCT/ISA/220 or before the	expiration of 22 months from the priority date,		
For further optic	ns, see Form PCT/ISA/	220.	•		
3. For further deta	lls, see notes to Form F	PCT/ISA/220.	•		
•					
Name and mailing addr	ess of the ISA:	Authorize	ed Officer		
D-80298	n Patent Office Munich 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 ep		ndou-Bourges, N ne No. +49 89 2399-7342		



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/052789

-	Box	No	. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With the i	reç lang	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in uage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		lang	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	n re(essi	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	/pe	of material:
		\boxtimes	a sequence listing
	[3	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	orm	at of material:
	[\boxtimes	in written format
•	1	\boxtimes	in computer readable form
	c. ti	ime	of filing/furnishing:
	1	\boxtimes	contained in the international application as filed.
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		Ø	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	. 🛭	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theretons been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/052789

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3-14

No: Claims

1-3

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-14

1-14

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: KIM H ET AL: 'ALTERATIONS IN P53 AND E2F-1 FUNCTION COMMON TO IMMORTALIZED CHICKEN EMBRYO FIBROBLASTS' ONCOGENE, BASINGSTOKE, HANTS, GB, vol. 20, no. 21, 2001, pages 2671-2682, XP001157349 ISSN: 0950-9232
- D2: BENNETT MARTIN R ET AL: 'Cooperative interactions between RB and p53 regulate cell proliferation, cell senescence, and apoptosis in human vascular smooth muscle cells from atherosclerotic plaques' CIRCULATION RESEARCH, vol. 82, no. 6, 6 April 1998 (1998-04-06), pages 704-712, XP002275529 ISSN: 0009-7330
- D3: WAZER DAVID E ET AL: 'Immortalization of distinct human mammary epithelial cell types by human papilloma virus 16 E6 or E7' PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES, vol. 92, no. 9, 1995, pages 3687-3691, XP002275530 1995 ISSN: 0027-8424
- D4: WILLIAMS BART O ET AL: 'Cooperative tumorigenic effects of germline mutations in Rb and p53' NATURE GENETICS, vol. 7, no. 4, 1994, pages 480-484, XP009028188 ISSN: 1061-4036

SECTION V

1.1 In the present set of claims, it should be render clear (Art.6 PCT) that the immortalized cell line which are claimed undergo this phenotype due to an active process of affecting cellular genes or transfecting the cells with viral genes, and not that the immortalized cell lines are affected in said pathways due to a standard processus of immortalization. In the absence of such further precision, an objection under novelty (Art.33(2) PCT) against claims 1-3 arises for the following reasons:
D1 (see abstract) relates to immortalized chicken embryo fibroblast cell lines which have been established in continuous cell culture. The expression pattern of p53 and

E2F-1 has been tested, showing a down and up-regulation, respectively. The E2F-1 factor is known to be involved in the pRb pathway. Therefore, the cells of D1 fall into the scope of at least claim 1.

In addition, the cells of D1 are in the absence of contrary evidence, supposed to fall into the scope of claims 2-3 too.

- 1.2 Further objections under Art.6 in combination with Art.5 PCT arise with respect to claims 1-3 since the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The functional statements of affecting the function of the retinoblastoma and the p53 proteins (claim 1), overcoming G1 checkpoint control and preventing apoptosis induced by a gene (claim 2), mediating disruption of complexes between retinoblastoma proteins and E2F transcription factors, and preventing transcriptional activation by p53 (claim 3) do not enable the skilled person to determine which technical features are necessary to perform the stated functions.
- 2. Even rendered novel over D1 and clear and supported by the description, the present application does not meet the requirements of Art.33(3) PCT for the following reasons:

The subject-matter of the present application differs from the closest prior art D1 in that the immortalized cellular line is <u>transformed with</u> genes providing an alteration in the p53 and the pRb pathways. However, the authors of D1 (see p.2672, left-hand column, l.51-54) state that "the differential expression of both p53 and E2F-1 genes seem to be a common event in immortal CEF cells and could be an early event in the process of cellular immortalization" and that (see l.59) "such changes (induced by the alteration of p53 and E2F-1 expression) may be sufficient to extent cellular life-span similar to the life extension observed by the inactivation of both p53 and pRb via introduction of SV40 large antigen". In addition, the authors suggest to trigger "functional studies involving the down-regulation of p53 by expression of antisense p53 mRNA and up-regulation of E2F-1 by introduction of exogenous E2F-1" that could "help determine the direct relationship between genetic alterations of p53 and

E2F-1 and cellular immortalization". Thus, it clearly appears a skilled person in the art, starting from D1 would have easily envisaged that the p53 and E2F-1 genes having altered function may be directly responsible or at least involved in the process of immortalization in CEF cells, and then would have envisage to apply the knowledge from other prior art concerning p53 and pRb. In particular, it is well known that inactivation of both p53 and pRb pathways result in immortalization or transformation of human and mouse cells (see D2-D4). Thus, applying the same inactivation of both p53 and Rb pathways to the cells of D1, the skilled person in the art would have obviously arrived at the subject-matter of claim 1. The subject-matter of independent claims 9, 11, 12, 14 appears to be merely obvious derived method and uses.

Thus, the presence of an inventive activity in the present application is denied.

3. Further clarity objections are raised (Art.6 PCT):

The expressions and terms "or the like", "etc." render the scope for which protection is sought unclear.

Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office Office européen des brevets

10/578043



Anmeldung Nr:

Application no.: 03025158.1

Demande no:

Anmeldetag:

Date of filing: 03.11.03

Date de dépôt:

Anmelder/Applicant(s)/Demandeur(s):

ProBioGen AG Goethestrasse 54 13086 Berlin ALLEMAGNE

Bezeichnung der Erfindung/Title of the invention/Titre de l'invention: (Falls die Bezeichnung der Erfindung nicht angegeben ist, siehe Beschreibung. If no title is shown please refer to the description. Si aucun titre n'est indiqué se referer à la description.)

Immortalized avian cell lines for virus production

In Anspruch genommene Prioriät(en) / Priority(les) claimed /Priorité(s) revendiquée(s)
Staat/Tag/Aktenzeichen/State/Date/File no./Pays/Date/Numéro de dépôt:

Internationale Patentklassifikation/International Patent Classification/Classification internationale des brevets:

C12N5/00

Am Anmeldetag benannte Vertragstaaten/Contracting states designated at date of filing/Etats contractants désignées lors du dépôt:

AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HU IE IT LU MC NL PT RO SE SI SK TR LI